University of Minnesota Crookston
AQIP Pathway Eight-Year Cycle Transition Map – Reaffirmation in 2015-16

Academic Year (Cycle Year)	Institutional Activities		Peer Review		Commission Decision-Making[1]
2014-15 (Year 7)	**Attend Strategy Forum** *Note: Year 7 Systems Portfolio requirement waived* [2]	**Annual Action Projects Filing**		**Annual Action Projects Response**	
2015-16 (Year 8)	**Federal Compliance Filing & Comprehensive Quality Review** with final review of Criteria for Accreditation		**Conduct Federal Compliance Review and Comprehensive Quality Review**		**Action on Comprehensive Quality Review & Reaffirmation of Accreditation**
2016-17 (Year 1)	**Attend one Strategy Forum**				
2017-18 (Year 2)					
2018-19 (Year 3)	**Submit Systems Portfolio**		**Conduct Systems Appraisal**		
2019-20 (Year 4)	*Possible Comprehensive Quality Review*		*Conduct possible Comprehensive Quality Review*		*Action on possible Comprehensive Quality Review*
2020-21 (Year 5)	**Attend one Strategy Forum**				
2021-22 (Year 6)					
2022-23 (Year 7)	**Submit Systems Portfolio**		**Conduct Systems Appraisal**		
2023-24 (Year 8)	**Federal Compliance Filing & Comprehensive Quality Review** with final review of Criteria for Accreditation		**Conduct Federal Compliance Review & Comprehensive Quality Review**		**Action on Comprehensive Quality Review & Reaffirmation of Accreditation**

[1] The Commission will continue to review data submitted by the institution through the Institutional Update, will apply change processes as appropriate to planned institutional developments, and will monitor the institution through reports, visits, and other means as it deems appropriate.

[2] Institutions with reaffirmation visits in 2015-2016 that completed a Systems Portfolio in 2012-13 and received a Systems Appraisal after July 1, 2013 or that completed a Systems Portfolio in 2013-14 will have this requirement waived. An institution may elect to revise and update their Systems Portfolio during 2014-15, as the review of Commission Criteria and Core Components will be conducted using the latest institutional portfolio on file. Should an earlier version of the portfolio not adequately address the Criteria and Core Components as required, an update for the CQR team would be in the institution's best interest.