University of Minnesota Crookston Assessment of Student Learning

Major: Finance

Last Updated : 05/15/2022

inajon i manoe		D (Lust optice : 05/15/2022		
		Data Collection	Reporting				
	Assessment Method & Procedures		Timeframe	Results	Planned Improvements Based on Results		
Program Learning Outcome 1. Apply the principles of Portfolio Management Theory to identify consumer financial needs to employ appropriate investment strategies and risk management assessment.							
Outcome 1.1 Understand Portfolio Management, Risk, Return, diversification, Security Market Line as well as selected Topics of Interest such as Futures and Options	"80% of students in FIN 3125 will receive a score of at least 80% on objective questions concerning risk and return ."	Odd year	Spring 2017	Average grade (E-90) section- 87.86%, standard deviation- 9.6% to the questions related to the key concepts (Unit 3+Unit 4) Test : portfolio, risk, return, duration, measure of the tendency, systematic risk, beta value of individual security,SML (Security Market Line), risk free rate index. Average grade (001) section-89.58, standard deviation- 6.59%.	The overall results are better for on campus Investment (01) section. <u>Main areas of difficulties</u> (conceptual understanding) for E-90 section are: call options, stock options, Interst rates changes and effect, exchange rate and options contract. Improvement actions: to spend more time or provide visua, audiol tutorials on following topics: Stock Options, Gloabal Economic Activity (Currency Exchange).Main areas of Difficulties for (001) on		
Outcome 1.2 Identify Investment Processes and apply appropriate Investment strategies in relationship to the Stock market, Bond market and common macroeconomic indicators(interest rates, term structure, inflation). Analyse different security types applying different valuation techniques.	"80% of of students in FIN 3125 will receive a score of at least 80% on objective questions related to	Odd year	Spring 2017	Average grade (E-90) section-90.87%, standard deviation- 8.18% to the questions related to the key concepts (Unit 1+Unit 2) Test : Dollar return and percentage return, risk return trade off, Investment Policy statement (IPS),Short sale, Invetsment professional and types of Brokerage accounts, classifying securities, forming portfolio, , mutual funds and investment companies, Stock market and valuation, Stock price behaviour and Market Effciency, Interst Rates and Bond valuation, Behavioural Finance. Average Grade for (001 sec.)-89.25%, standard deviation-	The overall results are similar for both section. Main areas of difficulties in understanding for E-90 sec.are: arbitrage trading, efficient market theory application. Main areas of fifficulties in understanding for (001)sec: IPO processes, sustainable rate of Growth, market indexes, arbitrage trading. Actions to improve: To use video, audio, tutorials to explain arbitrage trading and to provide an additional assignment(from real life situation related to famouse investors outcomes)		
Outcome 1.3 Employ appropriate investment strategy with the knowledge of Investements and Behavioral Finance.	"80% of of students in FIN 3125 will receive a score of at least 80% on the course assignment (portfolio planning and developing an investment strategy) performing on Stock Trak	Odd year	Spring 2017	Indirect Methods of assessment has been used. Students in both sections(E-90 and 001) were assigned to develop an Investment Strategy and form a Portfolio and anylise outcomes. The only differnce is that oncampus students have had an opportunity to deliver and discuss their Investment strategies in class instead of writing a final	Proposed actions: to use an opportunity to ask students in Online E-90 section to share their choosen Investment strategy on video presentation.		
Program Learning Outcome 2. Outcome 2.1 Explain and apply key	Construct efficient and effective fina 1/80% of students will achieve a			Iments, utilizing performance risk and return relevant to Students achieved 94% achieved scores great than 70% on			
tools, concepts and techniques in managerial/ corporate finance (FIN 3100/FIN3105)	score of 70% or better on Connect assignment platform 2/70% of students will achieve a score above 'C' level for the course embedded Test 1 and Test 2.	Ouu year	opring 2019	Connect assignments. 100% of face to face students met this goal.			

	Outcome 2.2 Evaluate risk and return relevant to financial decisions (FIN 3100/FIN3105)	80% of students will receive a score of at least 70% on objective questions concerning risk and related return.	Odd year		assignments; calculating cost of capital over varying capital structures, solving value with variable probability	My hypothesis is on line students more than f2f lack general motivation and computational skills. Before I can take action I must confirm or reject this hypothesis.
Program Learning Outcome 3: Interpret and justify the ethical obligations related to work in corporate finance and business transactions						
	Outcome 3.1 Interpret the ethical obligations involved in working at a financial institution (GBUS 3500, FIN 3115)	80% of students in FIN 3115 will demonstrate proficiency interpreting ethical issues	Even Year		grade of B or higher. This involved achievement in	Include mini-cases as the assessent instrument for intrepreting ethical issues, versus assessing one larger case.
	Outcome 3.2 Justify reasoning of an ethical issue (GBUS 3500 FIN3115)	80% of students in FIN 3900 will successfully navigate at least one ethical dilemma presented in their course	Even Year		dilemma presented in their course.	Add finance program feedback questionaire to evaluate student opinions regarding finance course preparation for the finance internship.

Program Learning Outcome 4: Evaluate financial systems as it relates to interest rates, exchange rates policies and structure regulation (FIN 3120)					
Outcome 4.1 Analyze minancial systems,	Course–embedded Tests – 70% will have grade "C" or above Analytical	Even year	Fall 2020	All Students, but 3 in sec E90 received score above 70% in tests, all students in (sec 01) received score above 70% in	
payment systems, interest rates, and	questions (based on scoring rubric)			test. The Connect (Homework+ Quizes) results: E90-	The overall results are similar for both section. No
capital market development				96.24%, (01section)- 97% section avarage.	change neeeded
Outcome 4.2 Justify regulatory and	"70% of students in FIN 3125 will	Even year	Fall 2020		The approach to include 2nd part of the Test in
supervisory frameworks governing the	correctly answer objective questions				forms of the essey to get feedabck for the most
activities of financial institutions	regarding regulatory frameworks 80%				important regulatory issue regarding Finance has
FIN3120, FIN 3115	of students in FIN 3120 will				provided a good outcome. It gave studnets the an
	successfully respond to open-ended			Students submitted 2nd part of the tests(essay) received	opportunity to use real life examples with the
	question about regulatory frameworks			higher then 80% score.	connection to the theory.
Program Learning Outcome 1. Apply the	principles of Portfolio Management	Theory to id		er financial needs to employ appropriate investment strat	0 0
Outcome 1.1 Understand Portfolio	"80% of students in FIN 3125 will	Odd year	Spring 2021	Average grade FIN 3125 (E-90) section- 82.86%, standard	
Management, Risk, Return,	receive a score of at least 80% on			deviation-10.8% to the questions related to the key concepts	Protfolio that will corrlate to the learning
diversification, Security Market Line	objective questions concerning risk			(Unit 1+Unit 2) Test: portfolio, risk, return, duration,	outcomes1.1 to be used in the future
as well as selected Topics of Interest	and return ."			measure of the tendency, systematic risk, beta value of	
such as Futures and Options (FIN 3125)				individual security,SML (Security Market Line), risk free	
				rate index.	

	Dutcome 1.2 Identify Investment Processes and apply appropriate investment strategies in relationship to the Stock market, Bond market and common macroeconomic indicators(interest rates, term structure, inflation). Analyse different security types applying different valuation techniques.	"80% of of students in FIN 3125 will receive a score of at least 80% on objective questions related to	Odd year	Spring 2021	Average grade FIN 3125(E-90) section-84.87%, standard deviation-8.18% to the questions related to the key concepts (Unit 3+Unit 4) Test : Dollar return and percentage return, risk return trade off, Investment Policy statement (IPS),Short sale, Invetsment professional and types of Brokerage accounts, classifying securities, forming portfolio, , mutual funds and investment companies, Stock market and valuation, Stock price behaviour and Market Effciency, Interst Rates and Bond valuation, Behavioural Finance. Average Grade for (001 sec.)-89.25%, standard	Protfolio that will corrlate to the learning	
i			Odd year	Spring 2021	deviation-9.07% Average grade on project Project " Running your own Multinational Corporation (MNC) in section in FIN/IBUS 3020 (E90)with 97% of students receiving a "c" or better on the project. Average grade in E90 section was 85% with 93% receiving a "c" or better.	Students achieved the desired outcomes for this project. There is a need to create the rubric that addresses all main components of the project to be evaluated	
]	Program learning Outcome 3:	Interpret and justify the ethical obligations related to work in corporate finance and business transactions					
1	Ducome 3.1 Interpret the ethical obligations involved in working at a financial institution (GBUS 3500/FIN 8115)	80% of students in FIN 3115 will demonstrate proficiency interpreting ethical issues	Even Year		n/a - the course FIN 3115 has not been tought in 2022		
	Dutcome 3.2 Justify reasoning of an ethical issue (GBUS 3500 /FIN3115)	80% of students in FIN 3900 will successfully navigate at least one ethical dilemma presented in their course	Even Year	Spring 2022	All students reported dealing with situations that could reasonably be considered navigating an ethical dilemma.	Not all students will absolutely encounter a *true* ethical dilemma, and thus this outcome is somewhat difficult to measure. More explicit guidance in terms of reporting on ethical dilemmas explicitly (or a situation that they could envision happening, if nothing happened) should be considered as a modification to the evaluation criteria.	